

CONFIDENTIAL - HAND DELIVERY

SUPERVISORY RESOLUTION PACKET

Case No. 23-009185 | 4880 T Street | March 31, 2026

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| To | Doug Pierson, Principal Building Inspector (Incoming) City of Sacramento - Community Development Department |
| From | Jacqueline M. Baritell Trust |
| Re | Request for immediate supervisory review and closure of Case No. 23-009185 |
| Attached | Notice of Documented Procedural Invalidity and Formal Demand for Case Closure |

Why this packet is being hand delivered

This packet is being delivered directly so the case can be reviewed at the supervisory level before further escalation becomes necessary.

The attached memorandum identifies record-based defects in the foundation of the enforcement action, the Notice and Order, the recorded title cloud, and the fee and penalty cascade that followed. The central point is narrow: the official record does not show a lawful, property-specific predicate sufficient to support the case as opened and maintained.

This is intended as an opportunity for a clean internal resolution. It is not a public release and not a pressure campaign. It is a direct request for supervisory review, corrective action, and closure.

Immediate requested action

Please review the attached memorandum personally and direct a written response within 14 calendar days addressing case closure, fee dismissal, release of any enforcement cloud or lien effects, and production of the key records specifically identified in the packet.

Attach this cover sheet on top of the full memorandum.

ONE-PAGE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

What the attached memorandum asks Doug to decide

| Core issue | Record-based concern |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Foundation of case | The file does not show a lawful inspection of the subject property before the Notice and Order issued. The case appears to have been launched without a clean, documented predicate. |
| Notice and Order | The Notice and Order and its correction list do not clearly identify a property-specific condition with the precision required for a valid enforcement start point. |
| Recorded cloud on title | The recorded notice appears to present defects in signatory chain, legal description, and statutory predicate, all of which warrant supervisory review. |
| Fees and penalties | Administrative penalties and monitoring fees accumulated after the above defects were already built into the case. If the predicate fails, the downstream charges are vulnerable. |
| Owner's requests for specifics | The owner's side repeatedly asked for the specific basis of the case in writing. The record presented here argues those requests were not meaningfully answered. |
| Requested corrective action | Close the case, dismiss assessed charges, release any enforcement cloud or lien effects, and produce the specific records and native photo files identified in the attached memorandum. |

Bottom line: the packet asks for a supervisory decision based on the City's own record before this matter moves into formal petitions, complaints, and broader public exposure.

Deliver with the full memorandum immediately behind this summary.